# Integrated weed management strategies in okra under irrigated subtropical conditions of Jammu & Kashmir

Anil Kumar, B.C. Sharma, Parshotam K. Sharma, Rakesh Kumar and Veena Wazir Division of Agronomy, Foa, SKUAST-J, Chatha, Jammu (Jammu & Kashmir) E-mail : anillau@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the rainy (*kharif*) seasons of 2007,2008 and 2009 to find out the relative efficiency of weed management practices in Okra (*Hibicus esculentus* L.). The result indicated that the highest weed control efficiency was achieved with the treatments fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application+1HW followed by alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW. The data on yield attributing charaters *viz*, number of pods/ plant and plant height were significantly influenced by various weed management treatments. The highest fresh pod yield was recorded with the application of fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application supplemented with 1HW. Significantly lowest fresh pod yield of okra was recorded in weedy check plots.

Key Words: Okra, Integrated weed management strategies, Fluchchloralin

Okra is one of the important vegetable crops of Jammu & Kashmir state especially in sub-tropical irrigated and rainfed belt mainly grown in monsoon and summer season of the year. During the monsoon months, weeds dominate and become one of the important limiting factors responsible for the reduction of productivity of crop. The cost of the labour and many times inaccessible field conditions become the major problems for manual weeding. Hence, integration of chemical and physical methods become an important tool for controlling the weeds, The present investigation was carried out to identify suitable integrated methods for effective control of weeds in okra so that reduce the farmers extra expenditure incurred on manual weeding in scorching summer conditions.

# **METERIALS AND METHODS**

The investigation was carried out during the *kharif* seasons of 2007, 2008 and 2009 at the research farm of division of Agronomy, Foa, Chatha, Sher-Kashmir University of Agriculture Science and Technology-Jammu. The soil of the experimental site was sandy clay loam, having a pH of 7.76, low in available N, P and medium in K content. Planting of variety okra was done by dibbling at a spacing of 60cm x 30cm. The NP and K were applied 60, 30 and 30 kg/ha through urea, DAP and MOP respectively. The treatments comprised of T<sub>1</sub> one hand weeding (20DAS); T<sub>2</sub> two hand weedings (20, 40 DAS), T<sub>3</sub> trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application; T<sub>4</sub> fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application; T<sub>5</sub> alachlor 2.0kg/ha preemergence application, T<sub>6</sub> oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha pre-

emergence application;  $T_7$  two directed burner flamings in inter-rows;  $T_8$  trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW;  $T_9$  fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW;  $T_{10}$  alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW,  $T_{11}$  oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha Pre-emergence application + 1HW;  $T_{12}$  two directed burner flamings in inter-rows + 1HW;  $T_{13}$  weedy check and  $T_{14}$  weed free. The data on dry weed weight (g/m<sup>2</sup>) at 60 days after sowing and the weed population/m<sup>2</sup> of various species of weeds was collected from plots of different treatments. Fresh pod yield (q/ha) was recorded by adding the weight of pods at different pickings. The weed control efficiency and weed index were calculated as per standard method.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Weed population and weed dry weight

Significant treatment differences were recorded in weed population and weed dry weight in okra (Table 1) at 60 days after planting. The values of 3 years data indicated that lowest weed population and weed dry weight were recorded in treatments, fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application+1HW which was however statistically at par with alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW, trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW and oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW but significantly superior from the rest of the treatments which recorded higher weed population. Saimbhi *et al.* (1994) also reported the lowest dry weed weight with application pendimethalin and fluchloralin and the finding conforms to the present observations.

Treatment	Weed	l population (	no/m²)	Weed	dry matter (	g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Fre	sh pods (	q/ha)
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
T <sub>1</sub> . One hand weeding (20 DAS)	10.6 (112.3)	10.6(111.0)	10.4(107.7)	5.6(29.88)	5.4(27.69)	5.1(25.01)	148.50	167.26	170.82
T <sup>2-</sup> Two hand weedings (20, 40 DAS)	8.4 (69.3)	7.7(58.8)	7.6(56.7)	4.3(17.74	4.1(15.84)	3.9(14.6)	211.30	221.75	229.72
T <sub>3-</sub> Trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application	10.4(107.7)	9.6(91.6)	9.5(89.5)	5.4(27.85)	5.2(25.78)	5.0(23.91)	168.0	170.63	172.26
T <sub>4</sub> -fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application	9.3(97.7)	9.5(89.8)	9.4(86.7)	4.4(19.91)	4.5(18.84)	4.2(17.09)	188.7	175.46	196.84
T <sub>5</sub> - Alachlor 2.0kg/ha preemergence application	9.8 (95.3)	9.4(87.2)	9.3(84.5)	5.0(23.63)	4.7(21.56)	4.6(20.40)	170.3	196.5	198.6
T <sub>6</sub> .Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha pre-emergence application	9.5 (88.7)	8.6(73.3)	8.5(70.9)	4.8(21.85)	4.5(19.71)	4.3(17.87)	174.6	188.4	192.48
T <sub>7</sub> . Two directed burner flamings in inter -rows	10.7 (102.3)	9.6(90.7)	9.5(89.0)	5.0(23.59)	4.8(1.75)	4.5(18.92)	168.4	179.6	182.44
T <sub>8</sub> . Trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW	7.9 (59.7)	6.7(43.8)	6.5(41.7)	4.1(15.58)	3.8(13.75)	3.6(11.96)	218.5	229.4	231.83
T <sub>9</sub> . Fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application+1HW	6.1(36.0)	5.4(28.5)	5.1(24.9)	3.1(8.40)	2.9(7.82)	2.7(6.08)	238.5	247.8	249.50
T <sub>10</sub> . Alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW	6.3(39.0)	5.7(31.4)	5.4(28.1)	3.4(8.40)	3.2(9.64)	3.0(8.15)	229.6	2413	242.02
T <sub>11</sub> . Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha Pre-emergence application + 1HW	6.7(43.3)	6.3(39.4)	6.1(36.8)	3.7(12.64)	3.6(11.83)	3.2(9.59)	217.2	225.6	230.81
T <sub>12</sub> . Two directed burner flamings in inter-rows + 1HW	9.2(83.7)	8.5(71.8)	8.5(69.6)	4.4(18.60)	4.3 (17.71)	4.1(15.5)	202.1	211.4	219.1
T <sub>13-</sub> Weedy check	14.6(212.3)	14.1(198.7)	13.7(185.8)	13.6(184.70)	13.9(170.72)	12.9(166.87)	123.3	111.3	98.24
T <sub>14-</sub> Weed free	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.0)	1.00(0.00)	1.00(0.00)	0.00	250.0	257.4	259.96
LSD (P=0.05)	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	15.5	17.4	4.9

Table1. Effect of integrated weed management strategies on growth and yield of okra

The figures given in parenthesis are original values which are subject to square root transformation  $\sqrt{x+1}$ 

# Weed control efficiency and weed index

Weed control efficiency in okra showed pronounced superiority in the plots treated with fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application+1HW (60.89%) followed by alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application + 1HW (59.09%), oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha pre-emergence appli-cation (54.85%) and trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant appli-cation + 1HW (50.20%) and were comparatively superior to all other treatments (Table 2). Contrary to above the values of weed index was recorded lower in case of fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW (4.11) followed by alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application (7.09%), trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant appli-cation + 1HW (11.42%), oxyflorfen 0.35 kg/ha pre-emergence application (12.22%). However an increasing trend in weed index has been observed with increase in weed population. The findings are in lines with Shaikh et al. (2002).

#### Yield attributes and yield

Number of pods/plant, plant height and fresh pod yield were significantly influenced by different weed

managements treatments during all the years. Highest number of pods/plants to the tune 20.1, 20.6 and 22.0, plant height of 56.5, 57.8 and 58.0 cm a and fresh pod yield of 23.9, 24.8 and 24.9 q/ha were recorded with fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW during 2007, 2008 and 2009 respectively (Table 3). The treatment alachlor 2.0 kg/ha pre-emergence application was observed to be next best treatment in this respect which was followed by trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha preplant application + 1HW. This might have happened due to effective weed control by these treatments. Similar findings were observed by Sandhu *et al.* (1991).

#### REFERENCES

- Saimbhi MS, Sandhu KS, Singh D, Kooner KS and Dhillon NPS. 1994. Chemical weed control studies in okra. *Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University*: **31**(1): 38-41.
- Sandhu KS, Singh Tarlok and Singh T. 1991. Chemical weed control in okra for seed. *Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University*: **28**: 4-9.
- Shaikh AR, Lokhande OG and Bhosle RH. 2002. Integrated weed management in okra (*Hibiscus esculentus*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 47(3):460-463.

tt strategies on weed population, weed drymatter, weed index and weed control efficiency of okra	Weed control	index* efficiency**
ntrol eff	М	
weed co	(m <sup>2</sup> )	2009 -
index and	Weed dry matter (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	2007-08 2008-09 2009-10
matter, weed	Weed d	2007-08
n, weed dry	/m <sup>2</sup> )	2009-10
ed populatio	Weed population (no/m <sup>2</sup> )	2008-09
tegies on wee	Weed p	2007-08
Table 2. Effect of integrated weed management stra	Treatment	

Treatment	I nanu		( m )		ween uny manuel (g/m )	( m	Weed	177 · 10
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	index*	emclency"" (WCE)
T <sub>1</sub> - One hand weeding (20DAS)	10.7(112.3)	10.6(111.0)	10.4(107.7)	5.6(29.88)	5.4(27.69)	5.1(25.01)	36.59	25.36
$T_2$ - Two hand weedings (20, 40 DAS)	8.4(69.3)	7.7(58.8)	7.6(56.7)	4.3(17.74)	4.1(15.84)	3.9(14.6)	13.63	44.09
T <sub>3</sub> - Trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha PP application	10.4(107.7)	9.6(91.6)	9.5(89.5)	5.4(27.85)	5.2(25.78)	5.0(23.91)	33.42	30.27
T <sub>4</sub> - Fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha PP application	9.3(97.7)	9.5(89.8)	9.4(86.7)	4.6(19.91)	4.5(18.84)	4.2(17.09)	26.89	3.43
T <sub>s</sub> - Alachlor 2.0kg/ha PE application	9.8 (95.3)	9.4(87.2)	9.3(84.5)	5.0(23.63)	4.7(21.56)	4.6(20.40)	26.32	32.89
T <sub>6</sub> - Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha PE application	9.5 (88.7)	8 .6(73.3)	8.5(70.9)	4.8(21.85)	4.5(19.71)	4.3(17.87)	27.61	37.34
$T_{7}$ - Two directed burner flamings in inter-rows	10.2 (102.3)	9.6(90.7)	9.5(89.0)	5.0(23.59)	4.8(1.75)	4.5(18.92)	30.87	31.09
T <sub>8</sub> - Trifluralin 1.0kg/haPP application+1HW	7.9 (59.7)	6.7(43.8)	6.5(41.7)	4.1(15.58)	3.8(13.75)	3.6(11.96)	11.42	50.20
T <sub>9</sub> - Fluchloralin 1.0kg/haPP application+1HW	6.1(36.0)	5.4(28.5)	5.1(24.9)	3.1(8.40)	2.957.82)	2.7(6.08)	4.11	60.89
T <sub>10</sub> - Alachlor 2.0 kg/ha PE application + 1HW	6.3(39.0)	5.7(31.4)	5.4(28.1)	3.4(8.40)	3.3(9.64)	3.0(8.15)	7.09	59.09
$T_{ii}$ - Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha PE application+1HW	6.7(43.3)	6.3(39.4)	6.1(36.8)	3.7(12.64)	3.6(11.83)	3.2(9.59)	12.22	54.85
$T_{12}$ - Two directed burner flamings in inter-rows+1HW	9.2(83.7)	8.5(71.8)	8.5(69.6)	4.4(18.60)	4.3(17.71)	4.1(15.5)	17.56	38.17
T <sub>13</sub> - Weedy check	14.6(212.3)	14.1(198.7)	13.7(185.8)	13.6(184.70)	13.2(170.72)	12.9(166.87)	56.63	ı
T <sub>14</sub> - Weed free	1.0(0.0)	11.0(0.0)	1.0(0.0)	1.0(0.00)	1.0(0.00)	0.00	İ	100.00
LSD (P=0.05)	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.5		
The figures given in parenthesis are original values which are subject to square root transformation $\sqrt{x+1}$ , * Weed Index calculated on the basis of mean average fresh pods (q/ha) of three years, ** Weed control efficiency calculated on the basis of mean weed population values of three years, PP- Pre-emergence, HW- Hand weeding	re subject to square basis of mean wee	e root transforn d population v	nation $\sqrt{x+1}$ , * alues of three y	• Weed Index ca ears, PP- Pre-pl	lculated on the ant, PE- Pre-en	basis of mean nergence, HW-	average fr Hand wee	ssh pods (q/ha) ding

a
k
f 0
10
eld
Ľ,
nd
8
te
ibu
Ē
at
ield
on y
S 0
ie
teg
ra
st
ent
ŭ
ee ee
na
na
ц
ee
M
ted
ral
- 50
Int
Jf i
ct C
fe
. Eff
ë
le
ab
Ë

2007 -08	I uusi piaili		ris	Plant height(cm)	m)	Fr	Fresh pods (q/ha)	ha)
	8 2008-09	2009 -10	2007 -08	2008 -09	2009 -10	2007 -08	2008-09	2009 -10
T <sub>1</sub> - One hand weeding (20DAS) 17.0	17.6	18.9	30.5	31.8	32.9	148.5	167.3	170.8
$T_2$ - Two hand weedings (20, 40 DAS) 18.6	19.6	20.7	47.5	48.7	49.4	211.3	221.8	229.7
T <sub>3</sub> - Trifluralin 1.0 kg/ha PP application	17.9	18.8	31.3	32.1	33.8	168.0	170.6	172.3
T <sub>4</sub> - Fluchloralin 1.0 kg/ha PP application 18.1	18.9	20.2	41.0	40.9	42.0	188.7	175.5	196.8
T <sub>s</sub> - Alachlor 2.0kg/ha PE application	18.3	19.6	34.4	35.7	36.2	170.3	196.5	198.6
T <sub>6</sub> - Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha PE application 17.9	18.6	19.8	37.6	38.0	38.2	174.6	188.4	192.5
T <sub>7</sub> - Two directed burner flamings in inter-rows 17.5	18.0	19.2	32.9	33.5	34.9	168.4	179.6	182.5
T <sub>8</sub> - Trifluralin 1.0kg/haPP application+1HW 18.9	20.1	20.9	49.5	50.6	52.0	218.5	229.4	231.8
T <sub>9</sub> - Fluchloralin 1.0kg/haPP application+1HW 20.0	20.6	22.1	56.5	57.8	58.0	238.5	247.8	249.5
T <sub>10</sub> - Alachlor 2.0 kg/ha PE application + 1HW 19.0	20.3	21.4	53.8	54.2	55.9	229.6	241.3	242.0
T <sub>11</sub> - Oxyfluorfen 0.35 kg/ha PE application+1HW 18.7	19.9	20.1	55.5	47.8	47.7	217.2	225.6	230.8
$T_{12}$ - Two directed burner flamings in inter-rows+1HW 18.3	19.3	20.4	42.7	43.1	44.4	202.1	211.4	219.1
T <sub>13</sub> - Weedy check 16.6	17.0	17.5	23.2	24.4	30.1	123.3	111.3	98.2
$T_{I4}$ - Weed free 20.3	20.9	23.4	59.7	60.9	62.6	250.0	257.4	260.0
LSD (P=0.05) 2.8	2.9	3.5	8.0	7.4	8.2	15.45	17.4	14.9